

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0251332 DATE: <u>9/30/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:00 AM</u> DEPAR	T: <u>11:45 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: WATSON ISLAND SITE ESTABLISHMENT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1050 MACARTHUR CSWY			
MIAMI 33132-1613			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LOUIS BRAIS PHONE: (305)613-74 Mobile:	7709		
CONTACT NAME: LUCA PELLEGRINI PHONE: (305)613-7 Email: Mobile:	7709		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/4/2011 / 2/4/2016 (effective date) (end date)			
Facility Section			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>GRAHAM SMITH</u>	box for each question)		
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still LOUIS BRAIS?	⊠ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still LUCA PELLEGRINI?			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo#1(cement)w/silotopb-hse,cartr.filter,pjclean subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 8/18/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(-11- 1 7	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check v box for each of	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	X Yes	□ No
control emissions?	_	∐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo#2(slag)w/silotopb-hse,cartr.filter,pjclean subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
TAKTI, PILE REVIEW FRIOR TO INDICETION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
Date of last inspection: 8/18/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ፭ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	· · · · ·	- question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by: 	nfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of	the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
control emissions?		□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	Z 105	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment		
particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No
particulate matter from stock piles.	Z 103	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
c. what caused the problem(s) (ii known):		

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-silo#3(flyash)w/silotopb-hse,cartr.filter,pjclean subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 8/18/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
	(check ☑ box for each of	only one question)	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		1	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the			
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to)	∐ No	
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-mixer/weighhopperw/centralcartridgedust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
Date of last inspection: 8/18/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No				
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, at	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)				
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:					
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include on 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals whe control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airly particulate matter?	Yes				
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point	to the truck? Yes No				
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No				

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u>	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
				ļ
1	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2	2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	□ No
3	B. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		<u>·</u> ≤ 1.00°	?
4	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	☐ No
_				
G	GENERAL CONDITIONS			
1	. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	- 🔲	Yes	⊠ No
2	2. Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No
3	3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\bowtie	Yes	∐ No

RF	LOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	•
1.	Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both static concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If on</i>	onary and relocatable	box for each of question 2.)	question)
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ Yes	☐ No
	 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Loca e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day price b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	r to changing location?	Yes	☐ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business of c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	lays following a relocation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five bus	iness days prior to relocation?	- Yes	☐ No
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air c and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose. If YES, what was the purpose?	nat separate permit: e (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		□ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	□ No □ No
CE	IANGES		(check 🗹 box for each o	
	ministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the			aucstron)
2. <u>Ne</u>	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration of the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been	the facility or any emissions unit rative change at the facility?	s or Yes	⊠ No □ No
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantial. A change in ownership?	ally different?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration for 30 days prior to the change?		nitted Yes	☐ No
FR	ANK DELGADO	9/30/2011		
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	9/	2012		
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection	

COMMENTS: STEPHANIE BROOKS AND EUGENE SCHALTENBRAND FROM BROOKS AND ASSOCIATES PERFORMED VISIBLE EMISSIONS OBSERVATIONS ON FOUR (4) EMISSIONS POINTS. THE FLYASH/SLAG SILO WILL BE TESTED AT A LATER DATE.

I WITNESSED TWO (2) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE NORTHERNMOST CEMENT SILOS; THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT A RATE OF APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI. . I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS WHILE I WAS ON SITE.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.